

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference T218138/142 FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416).		See Notification of Transmittal of International Prelimina: Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416).	ry .	
International Application No.	International Filing Date (day/month/year)	Priority Date (day/month/year)		
PCT/NZ2003/000248	5 November 2003	5 November 2002		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or r	national classification an	nd IPC		
Int. Cl. ⁷ G06F 17/30, 17/60				
Applicant				
ACCORDO GROUP INTERNAT	TIONAL LIMITED et	t al		
This international preliminary examination is transmitted to the applicant according	on report has been prepa to Article 36.	ared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority	and	
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5	sheets, including this co	over sheet.		
This report is also accompanied by	ANNEXES, i.e., sheets	s of the description claims and/or drawings which have bee	n	
amended and are the basis for this 70.16 and Section 607 of the Adm	report and/or sheets con	staining rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule		
These annexes consist of a total of				
3. This report contains indications relating t	o the following items:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\dashv	
	e die fone wing nems.			
II Priority			ĺ	
III X Non-establishment of opin	ion with regard to novel	lty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
IV X Lack of unity of invention		•		
V Reasoned statement under citations and explanations	V X Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
VI Certain documents cited				
VII Certain defects in the international application				
VIII Certain observations on the international application				
Date of submission of the demand 4 June 2004		Date of completion of the report		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU		14 February 2005		
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE		Authorized Officer		
PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au				
Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929		MATTHEW HOLLINGWORTH		
	Tel	Telephone No. (02) 6283 2024		

International application No.

PCT/NZ2003/000248

I.	I. Basis of the report			
1.		With regard to the elements of the international application:*		
	X the international application as	riginally filed.		
	the description, pages, as	originally filed,		
	pages , file	with the demand,		
	pages, rece	ived on with the letter of		
l	the claims, pages, as o	riginally filed,		
	pages, as a	mended (together with any statement) under Article 19,		
ļ	pages , file	with the demand,		
	pages, rece	ived on with the letter of		
	the drawings, pages, as o	iginally filed,		
		with the demand,		
	- - ·	ved on with the letter of		
	the sequence listing part of the d	escription:		
	pages, as o	riginally filed		
	, , ,	with the demand		
	pages , rec	ived on with the letter of		
2.		ments marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in		
		filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. hed to this Authority in the following language which is:		
		ished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).		
		international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).		
	and/or 55.3).	nished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2		
,				
3.	preliminary examination was carried	Vith regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:		
	contained in the international app			
	filed together with the internation	al application in computer readable form		
	furnished subsequently to this Au			
-				
	<u></u>	thority in computer readable form.		
	The statement that the subsequen international application as filed	ly furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the as been furnished.		
	The statement that the information been furnished	recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has		
4.		the cancellation of:		
	the description, pag	es ·		
	the claims, No.			
	· .	ets/fig.		
5		·		
5. —-	go beyond the disclosure as filed,	s if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**		
*	Replacement sheets which have been furn report as "originally filed" and are not an	shed to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this nexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17).		
**		endments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report		

nternational application No.

PCT/NZ2003/000248

ш.		Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
1.	The indu	e questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be nonobvious), or to be ustrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:			
		the entire international application,			
	X	claims Nos: 27-31, 55-74			
	bec	ause:			
		the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):			
	•				
		\cdot			
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):			
•					
[the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.			
	X	no international search report has been established for said claim Nos. 27-31, 55-74			
a	mea	uningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and/or amino equence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions:			
		the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.			
		the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.			

PCT/NZ2003/000248

IV.		Lack of unity of invention .	
1.	In re	sponse to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has:	
		restricted the claims.	
		paid additional fees.	
		paid additional fees under protest.	
		neither restricted nor paid additional fees.	
2.		This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.	
3.	This .	Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is	
		complied with.	
	X	not complied with for the following reasons:	
		The international application does not comply with the requirements of unity of invention because it does not relate to one invention or to a group of inventions so linked as to from a single general inventive concept. In coming to this conclusion the International Searching Authority has found that there are five inventions:	
		• Claims 1-26, 32-54 and 75-76, directed to methods of analysing and displaying information relating to software licenses. The collation of license data from a sales database is considered to constitute a first "special technical feature."	
.*		 Claims 27-31, directed to a method of displaying an analysis of software license data. The graphical comparison between the number of computer users and the number of licenses is considered to be a second "special technical feature." 	
		 Claims 55-64, directed to a method for selecting a software product. The use of separate panes to select product type, variation and version is a third "special technical feature." 	
•		 Claims 65-69, directed to a method for linking company names. The use of company name abbreviations is considered to be a fourth "special technical feature:" 	
		 Claims 70-74, directed to a method for displaying linked company names. The display of links between primary and secondary company names is considered to constitute a fifth "special technical feature." 	
	t	Since these groups of claims do not share any of the technical features identified, a 'technical relationship' between the inventions, as defined in PCT rule 13.2 does not exist. Accordingly the international application loes not relate to one invention or to a single inventive concept.	
Consequently, the following parts of the international application were the subject of international preliminary examination in establishing this report:			
		all parts.	
	[2	the parts relating to claims Nos. 1-26, 32-54, 75-76	

International application No.

PCT/NZ2003/000248

V.	Reasoned statement under Art and explanations supporting su	icle 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step ach statement	- APR 2 / 2005
1.	Statement		
	Novelty (N)	Claims 10-23, 32-54	YESHOP
		Claims 1-9, 24-26, 75-76	NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims 10-23, 32-54	YES
		Claims 1-9, 24-26, 75-76	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-26, 32-54, 75-76	YES.
		Claims	NO

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

Novelty and Inventive Step - Claims 1 - 9, 24 - 26, 75 - 76

WO 00/52559 A1 (ISOGON CORPORATION), 8 September 2000

This citation discloses all the features of claims 1 - 9, 24 - 26, 75 - 76. These claims are therefore not novel and do not involve an inventive step.